



Exit Survey

Re: 464 Eaglecrest Drive

Workshop: Wednesday, 1st March, 2017

Time: 4 p.m. - 7 p.m.

As noted, the material boards that have been presented this evening are intended to assist you in envisioning the opportunities associated with our Eaglecrest development proposal. Given the preliminary nature of this project, (pre-application) it is important for us to hear from you. Your ideas, preferences, visions and concerns will allow us to further develop our plans prior to submitting an application to the Town of Gibsons.

We encourage you to be candid and detailed in your written comments below. Your written feedback will be gathered in the submission boxes, and a summary of the responses will be taken into consideration as the project evolves. Thank you in advance for your participation.

Name

Address

Phone

E-mail Address

Planning Issues & Land Use

1. I feel that residential uses are appropriate for this site (other uses such as commercial, institutional etc. are not an appropriate use).

Please Circle One

STRONGLY AGREE AGREE NEUTRAL DISAGREE STRONGLY DISAGREE

2. This project should provide housing typologies for (please circle your response):

- | | | |
|---------------------------------|-----|----|
| a. Families | YES | NO |
| b. Singles | YES | NO |
| c. Empty-Nesters | YES | NO |
| e. Seniors | YES | NO |
| f. Accessible/Adaptable | YES | NO |
| g. All of the above | YES | NO |
| h. Other (please specify) _____ | | |

3. I believe the project as envisaged, should be divided into two – utilizing the upper and lower benches. This allows vehicular traffic to be minimized through the two neighbourhoods while providing a variety of living experiences for the future residents and provides different pedestrian proximity to the village and waterfront vs. the upper town with its shops and services.

Please Circle One

STRONGLY AGREE AGREE NEUTRAL DISAGREE STRONGLY DISAGREE

4. I am pleased to see the vast amount of green space/parkland that is being retained and created.

Please Circle One

STRONGLY AGREE AGREE NEUTRAL DISAGREE STRONGLY DISAGREE

5. I like the fact that vehicles have been removed from the site plan to the best extent possible (i.e underground parking), and that this is a pedestrian-first based scheme.

** NB – for environmental considerations, we have used both sides of the paper for this survey. Please flip over this sheet for more questions.*

Please Circle One

STRONGLY AGREE AGREE NEUTRAL DISAGREE STRONGLY DISAGREE

6. I am pleased to see a variety of building and unit types and sizes including duplex/townhomes, one, two, three bedroom + suites, smaller, more affordable units, one level condominiums, etc.

Please Circle One

STRONGLY AGREE AGREE NEUTRAL DISAGREE STRONGLY DISAGREE

7. Further improvements /suggestions to the site planning and land uses include:

Please Offer your Additional Comments if Applicable:

Architectural Style & Design (please circle one answer):

1. I am pleased with the general direction of the architectural style. The smaller, articulated facades with a clean, traditional look with peaked roofs fits nicely with the Gibson context.

Please Circle One

STRONGLY AGREE AGREE NEUTRAL DISAGREE STRONGLY DISAGREE

2. If you selected "Disagree" or "Strongly Disagree" with Question 1 above, what Architectural design themes (exterior styling/facades) would you like to see?

Please Circle One or More

Modern Industrial Beachy/Nautical Traditional

Other: _____

3. Would you prefer: Flat Roofs or Pitched Roofs (please circle one)
4. I like the way the proposed overall residential massing has been visually broken up into separate elements, in keeping with the vision contained in the OCP. I like how the design development includes smaller buildings rather than larger massing, while maintaining a breathtaking view corridor for all.

Please Circle One

STRONGLY AGREE AGREE NEUTRAL DISAGREE STRONGLY DISAGREE

5. If you circled either "Disagree" or "Strongly Disagree" to Question 4 above, please state your reasons why, and how we can improve the massing of this project:

Environmental:

1. Is sustainability important to you?

Please Circle One

STRONGLY AGREE AGREE NEUTRAL DISAGREE STRONGLY DISAGREE

** NB – for environmental considerations, we have used both sides of the paper for this survey. Please flip over this sheet for more questions.*

2. Which of the following sustainable / environmental initiatives would you like to see incorporated into any future plans for this project? (please circle your response):

- | | | | |
|----|---|-----|----|
| a. | Renewable energy technologies (i.e. solar, geothermal, air conditioning) | YES | NO |
| b. | Creation of walkable neighborhoods | YES | NO |
| c. | Car-share program | YES | NO |
| d. | Rainwater cistern for 'greywater' recycling (i.e. landscaping) | YES | NO |
| e. | Reduced Energy Consumption | YES | NO |
| f. | Low energy lighting & fixtures | YES | NO |
| g. | Design of units with cross-through ventilation with windows at either end of the suites | YES | NO |
| h. | Gardens for residents to grow their own vegetables, herbs and flowers | YES | NO |

Other environmental initiatives and considerations I think should be included into this project include:

The Suites (Condominium Component):

1. We hosted a workshop with the Sunshine Coast Real Estate Community in late 2016, who informed us that what is missing in Gibsons are larger, high quality one-level condominium suites with large outdoor patios that capture the view and will allow current single family land owners to downsize from their homes and remain in their community. Do you agree with this feedback?

Please Circle One

STRONGLY AGREE AGREE NEUTRAL DISAGREE STRONGLY DISAGREE

2. If you selected "Disagree" or "Strongly Disagree" with Question 1 above, what other housing types do you feel Gibsons needs?

3. If you answered "Strongly Agree" or "Agree" to Question 1 above, what type of condominium would you like to see?

Please Circle One or More

STUDIO 1 BED 1 BED & DEN 2 BED 2 BED & DEN 3 BED

4. How many parking stalls do you feel would be adequate for each unit type?

Please Circle Appropriate (desirable) # of Parking Stalls associated with each Unit Type

STUDIO	1 BED	1 BED & DEN	2 BED	2 BED & DEN	3 BED
0 1	0 1	1 2	1 2	1 2	1 2

5. If you were to considerer moving to this future community, what might your ideal home size be (square feet?)

< 500 500 - 700 750 - 1,000 1,000 – 1,250 1,250 - 1,500 1,500 - 2,000 2,000 ++

6. If you interest is either in a studio or a one bedroom suite, what is the maximum amount you afford to pay?

Please Circle One or More

<\$250,000 \$250 - \$275,000 \$275,000 - \$300,000 >\$300,000

Duplex Townhouses:

1. I am pleased to see 4 duplex townhouse buildings located along Eaglecrest Dr. The size and scale of

** NB – for environmental considerations, we have used both sides of the paper for this survey. Please flip over this sheet for more questions.*

the buildings relates nicely to the existing single family homes across the street, competes the streetscape, and provides a good option for young families.

Please Circle One

STRONGLY AGREE AGREE NEUTRAL DISAGREE STRONGLY DISAGREE

2. If you circled “Strongly Disagree” or “Disagree” to Question 1 above, please state your reasons why and what you would rather see in this location.

On-Site Amenities:

1. What on-site amenities do you feel would be appropriate for this project? (please circle your response):

- | | | | |
|----|--|-----|----|
| a. | Community Gardens | YES | NO |
| b. | Gym / Exercise room | YES | NO |
| c. | Meeting Room / Business Centre | YES | NO |
| d. | Communal Theatre | YES | NO |
| e. | Communal Car Sharing | YES | NO |
| f. | Children’s Play Area | YES | NO |
| g. | Communal Workshop | YES | NO |
| h. | Connectivity to Open Spaces / Trail Systems / Parks | YES | NO |
| i. | Communal Car Washing Facility | YES | NO |
| j. | Communal Outdoor Seating / Eating area / Outdoor Kitchen | YES | NO |
| k. | Hot Tub | YES | NO |
| l. | Outdoor Pool | YES | NO |

Other:

Off-Site Amenities:

1. I am pleased to see proposed trail heads located at both the top of the site (at the end of Eaglecrest) and the bottom of the site. I feel this does a good job of providing a route through the site and its vast green space while providing a short-cut connection between these two spots (upper and lower).

Please Circle One

STRONGLY AGREE AGREE NEUTRAL DISAGREE STRONGLY DISAGREE

2. I encourage the proponents of the project to further investigate the funicular tram that would connect the lower bench to the upper bench.

Please Circle One

STRONGLY AGREE AGREE NEUTRAL DISAGREE STRONGLY DISAGREE

Please comment on any other aspect of the project that you feel this survey has not adequately addressed.

If you prefer to take more time to fill out this questionnaire privately, please return it by mail to:

Ankenman Marchand Architects, 1645 West 5th Ave, Vancouver, BC V5J 1N5 - Or: Electronically: Tim@amarchitects.com

Questions? Please contact Tim Ankenman at 604-872-2595, ext. 28, or email tim@amarchitects.com

Thank you for your time and involvement in tonight's workshop.

Your comments and feedback are important to us and will assist in shaping this exciting project.

** NB – for environmental considerations, we have used both sides of the paper for this survey.
Please flip over this sheet for more questions.*